IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

THURSDAY, THE 7TH JUNE 2007 / 17TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 3176 of 2005(C)

PETITIONER:

THOMAS VARGHESE, S/O.T.P.VARGHESE,
THENKONU HOUSE, PANNIYAMKARA POST,
KANNAMBRA VILLAGE, ALATHUR TALUK,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.R.VENKETESH.

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY (KERALA),**
   C.C.K. PROJECT, GOLDEN PLAZA ANNEX,
   CHITTUR ROAD, ERNAKULAM.

2. **PETRONET C.C.K. LIMITED,**
   IRIMBANAM, INSTALLATION OF B.P.C.L.,
   IRIMBANAM.

BY ADV. SRI.M.PATHROSE MATTHAI (SR.),
SRI.RONY J.PALLATH.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 07/06/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C). NO.3176/2005:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P.1: COPY OF THE VALUATION STATEMENT AND MAHAZAR PREPARED BY THE R.1.

EXT.P.2: COPY OF THE ORDER IN O.P. NO. 143/2000 DTD. 23/03/2004 PASSED BY THE DISTRICT JUDGE, PALAKKAD.

//TRUE COPY//

prv.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE,J.

- -

W.P.(C) No.3176 of 2005

- -

Dated: 7th June, 2007

JUDGMENT

This Writ Petition is remaining defective for non-completion of notice on the 1st respondent-Competent Authority. But I find that challenge to Ext.P2 order passed by the learned District Judge is not maintainable under this jurisdiction. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that against similar orders several Writ Petitions were filed, but many of them were converted later as C.R.Ps. Since I find that the Writ Petition is not maintainable, the same will stand dismissed. The right of the petitioner to move any other jurisdiction is left open.

srd **PIUS C.KURIAKOSE, JUDGE**